

Message

From: Larry Ladd [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
Sent: 6/1/2018 10:54:54 PM
To: amacdonald@waterboards.ca.gov; Keller, Lynn [Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]; ROJAS-MICKELSON, DAEWON [rojas-mickelson.daewon@epa.gov]; Peter MacNicholl [Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov]; Pratibha Shalini [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Chris Fennessy [christopher.fennessy@aerojet.com]; Barbara Leary [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Jennifer Lane [Jenslucy@sbcglobal.net]; Janis [jheple@ucdavis.edu]; Allen Tsao [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Jimmy Spearow [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Ken Green [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Muriel Brounstein [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Stephen Green [gsg444@sbcglobal.net]; Ernie Sheldon [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
CC: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
Subject: Neutron bombardment of carbon vs. boron at Area 40

Alex Macdonald, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Board

Am I correct, Alex, in assuming that neutron bombardment of boron fiber will produce a higher carbon 14/nitrogen 15 ratio https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nitrogen-15_tracing than neutron bombardment of the carbon and nitrogen in solid rocket fuel? Also from burnt ammonium perchlorate you would have some metallic aluminum spherical residue present, and if those aluminum spheres had been bombarded with neutrons, then more stable silicon 29 and 30 and some radioactive silicon 32 would also be generated?

Would you recommend USGS in Menlo Park <https://wwwrcamnl.wr.usgs.gov/isoig/res/funda.html> as a good resource for evaluating a soil isotope analysis?

Thanks,

Larry Ladd